

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/893,154	06/27/2001	Loren Lantz	20518/11	CONFIRMATION NO.
7590 05/18/2004  Mark S. Leonardo, Esq.  Brown Rudnick Freed & Gesmer 18th Floor		EXAMINER		
			WALLING, MEAGAN S	
One Financial (	Center, Box IP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA 0	02111		2863 DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
,	Office Action Summer	09/893,154	LANTZ ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-		Meagan S Walling		
ļ	The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence ad	ldress
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, of Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT  6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for	H(S) FROM  timely filed  days will be considered timely	
	Status			
.   .	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ma			
				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	20)   11  S a	action is non-final.	e de la companya de	
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the	merits is
1		parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
'	Disposition of Claims			
	4) Claim(s) <u>1,7-15,17,19-22,24-27 and 29-37</u> is/are	pending in the application		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn	from consideration		
	5) 🖾 Claim(s) <u>1,7-15,17,19-22,24-27,29-33,36 and</u> 37	is/are allowed.		
	6) 🔼 Claim(s) <u>34 and 35</u> is/are rejected.			
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to			¥
	8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement		
Δ.	Application Papers	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
	10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2001</u> is/are: a)	l accepted or b)⊡ objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra	awing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR	l 1 121(d)
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC	-152.
Р	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pri	Ority under 35 H.S.C. & 110/o	) (d) (D	
	a) ☐ All`b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	-11.3 under 00 0.0.0. 3 119(a)	)-(a) or (t).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received		
•	2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received in Applicati	on No	
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority	documents have been receive	ed in this National St	200
	application from the international Bureau (P	CT Rule 17 2(2))		age
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	he certified copies not receive	d.	
	achment(s)			•
1) [ 2) [	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (	(PTO-413)	
3) [	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SP/09)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te	
	raper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTO-15	2) '
	atent and Trademark Office L-326 (Rev. 1-04)		<u> </u>	

Application/Control Number: 09/893,154

Art Unit: 2863.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 34-35 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fyfe (GB 2,266,771) in view of Gregory et al. (US 6,00,846).

Regarding claim 34, Fyfe teaches means for thermally isolating a thermometer probe tip including a temperature sensor element from a thermometer probe shaft (Fig. 2, Ref 12) and means for applying heat to the thermometer probe shaft (Fig. 2, Ref 22).

Regarding claim 35, Fyfe teaches means for reading a temperature signal from the temperature sensor element and predicting an equilibrium temperature according to the signal read from the temperature sensor element (see page 4, paragraph 2).

Fyfe does not teach means for reading a temperature signal from the temperature sensor element and predicting an equilibrium temperature according to the signal read from the temperature sensor element and a temperature of the means for applying heat (current claim 34).

Regarding claim 34, Gregory et al. teaches means for reading a temperature signal from the temperature sensor element and predicting an equilibrium temperature according to the signal read from the temperature sensor element and a temperature of the means for applying heat (column 1, line 64 – column 2, line 3 and column 2, lines 27-30).

Application/Control Number: 09/893,154

Art Unit: 2863

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Fyfe with the teachings of Gregory et al. to use the signals from both the temperature sensor and the heat applying means to predict the temperature. The motivation for making this combination would be to reduce the time required to accurately estimate a patient's temperature (Gregory et al., column 2, lines 1-3).

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 7-15, 17, 19-22, 24-27, 29-33, and 36-37 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Please see previous office action for reasons for allowance.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 34 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The amendment to claim 34 overcomes the rejection over the prior art, however new art has been applied as above to overcome the amendment.

Application/Control Number: 09/893,154

Art Unit: 2863

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meagan S Walling whose telephone number is (571) 272-2283. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/893,154.

Art Unit: 2863

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

msw

John Barlow Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800